UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,603	03/08/2007	Rene Djurup	DJURUP2	2533
	7590 05/14/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			WEN, SHARON X	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/572,603	DJURUP ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHARON WEN	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 Ma</i>	arch 2007				
	action is non-final.				
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in addordance with the practice and c	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16, 18-19, 21-29 and 44-57</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	:				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16, 18-19, 21-29 and 44-57</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• • •	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ite			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment, filed 03/08/2007, has been entered.

Claims 17, 20 and 30-43 have been canceled.

Claims 1-16, 18-19, 21-29 and 44-57 are pending and currently under Restriction Requirement.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, 18-19, 21-24 and 27-29, drawn to an antibody against human heparin binding protein (hHBP) or a homologue of hHBP and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the antibody.

Group II, claim(s) 25-26, drawn to a cell producing the antibody.

Group III, claim(s) 44-57, drawn to a method of modulating the inflammatory response comprising administering the antibody.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that defines a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole makes over the prior art."

Art Unit: 1644

The technical feature of the present invention is an antibody against hHBP. Flodgaard et al. (WO 00/66151, cited on IDS) teach an antibody against hHBP (see, e.g., page 10). Therefore the technical feature of the present application does not contribute over prior art, thus the special technical feature does not exist.

## Species Election

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 5. If <u>any one of Groups I-III</u> is elected, Applicant is required to elect a specific antigenic epitope to which the antibody binds, for example:
  - A) residues 1-19 or 45-225 of SEQ ID NO: 1, OR
  - B) residues 20-44 of SEQ ID NO: 1, OR
  - C) pHBP of SEQ ID NO: 588, OR
  - D) hNEL of SEQ ID NO: 589.

It appears that antibody F19A5B1 binds residues 1-19 or 45-225 of SEQ ID NO: 1 and is for stimulating an inflammatory response while antibody F19A5B4 binds residues 20-44 of SEQ ID NO: 1 and is for inhibiting an inflammatory response.

Therefore, Applicant is further required to elect a specific pharmaceutical composition comprising F19A5B1 <u>OR</u> F19A5B4 and indicate whether it reads on "stimulating" **OR** "inhibiting" an inflammatory response.

Art Unit: 1644

In addition, if F19A5B1 is elected, Applicant is further required to elect an ultimate species of the antigenic epitope to which the antibody binds, (e.g., residues 1-19 of SEQ ID NO: 1 OR residues 45-225 of SEQ ID NO: 1).

6. Furthermore, if <u>Group III</u> is elected, Applicant is required to elect a specific method of modulating the inflammatory response (e.g., "*stimulating*" **OR** "*inhibiting*").

In addition, if Group III is elected, Applicant is also required to elect a species and ultimate species of diseases (e.g., bacterial infection: meningococcus OR autoimmune disease: rheumatoid arthritis).

7. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: see Flodgaard et al.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. *The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added*. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1644

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the **inventorship** must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1644

## Rejoinder

10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1644

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SHARON WEN whose telephone number is (571)270-

3064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30AM-6:00PM,

ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen O'Hara can be reached on (571)272-0878. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sharon Wen, Ph.D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1644

April 27, 2008

/Eileen B. O'Hara/

**Supervisory Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 1644